1	Nicole Hazlett (SBN 310052)					
2	nhazlett@consumerlawcenter.com Krohn & Moss LTD. 1112 Ocean Drive, Suite 301 Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 Tel: (323) 988-2400 Fax: (866) 861-1390					
3						
4						
5						
6	Attorney for Plaintiff, GLADYS CABANDE					
7						
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION					
9						
10						
11	GLADYS CABANDE,					
12	Plaintiff, v.	Case No.: 4:17-cv-6016				
13	SYNCHRONY,) COMPLAINT				
14		(Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)				
15	Defendant.)				
16						
17	NOW COMES Plaintiff, GLADYS CABANDE ("Plaintiff"), by and through her					
18	attorneys, and for her Complaint against Defendant, SYNCHRONY ("Defendant"), Plaintiff					
19	hereby alleges as follows:					
20	INTRODUCTION					
21	This action is brought by Plaintiff pursuant to the Telephone Consumer Protection Act					
22	("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq and Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act					
23	("Rosenthal"), Cal. Civ. Code § 1788 et seq.					
24						
25						
		-1-				

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- Jurisdiction of this court arises over Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint pursuant to 28
 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1367 grants this court supplemental jurisdiction over the state claim in Count II of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 2. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) as the acts and transactions giving rise to this action occurred in this district as Plaintiff resides in this district and Defendant transactions business in this district.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff is a natural person at all times relevant residing in San Bruno, California.
- 4. Plaintiff is a consumer or debtor and allegedly owes a debt as that term is defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(d)-(e).
- 5. Defendant is a business entity with headquarters in Draper, Utah.
- 6. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. Around August, 2017, Plaintiff began receiving phone calls from Defendant on her cellular telephone.
- 8. Defendant placed calls to , Plaintiff's cellular telephone.
- 9. These calls are not for emergency purposes.
- 10. These calls are made in connection with a credit card account.
- 11. Defendant called Plaintiff's cell phone on consecutive days, at least twice per day, including mornings, nights, and weekends.

1	12. Upon information and good faith belief, based on the frequency, number, nature and
2	character of these calls, Defendant placed these calls using an automatic telephone
3	dialing system for purposes of the TCPA.
4	13. On or about August 7, 2017, Plaintiff spoke with Defendant and instructed Defendant to
5	stop calling her cell phone.
6	14. Defendant continued to call Plaintiff's cell phone after August 7, 2017.
7	15. Defendant continued to place calls to Plaintiff's cell phone on consecutive days, at leas
8	twice per day, including mornings, nights, and weekends.
9	16. Plaintiff estimates Defendant called her cell phone at least eighty-five (85) times.
10	17. Upon information and good faith belief, Defendant used an automatic telephone dialing
11	system to place each and every call to Plaintiff's cell phone.
12	18. Defendant did not have Plaintiff's express consent to use an automatic telephone dialing
13	system to place these calls.
14	19. Defendant knew that it did not have Plaintiff's express consent to use an automatic
15	telephone dialing system to place these calls.
16	20. Defendant placed these calls voluntarily.
17	21. Defendant placed these calls under its own free will.
18	22. Defendant willfully used an automatic telephone dialing system to place these calls.
19	23. Plaintiff is annoyed and feel harassed by Defendant's calls.
20	<u>COUNT I</u>
21	DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT
22	24. Defendant's actions alleged <i>supra</i> constitute numerous negligent violations of the TCPA
23	entitling Plaintiff to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages for each and ever
24	violation pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
25	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	W
6	
7	pι
8	
9	of
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	W
25	

25. Defendant's actions alleged *supra* constitute numerous and multiple knowing and/or willful violates of the TCPA, entitling Plaintiff to an award of \$1500.00 in statutory damages for each and every violation pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff pray that judgment be entered against Defendant for the following:

- (1) Statutory damages of \$500.00 for each and every negligent violation of the TCPA pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § (b)(3)(B);
- (2) Statutory damages of \$1500.00 for each and every knowing and/or willful violation of the TCPA pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § (b)(3)(b) and 47 U.S.C. § (b)(3)(C);
 - (3) All court costs, witness fees and other fees incurred; and
 - (4) Awarding such other and further relief as may be just, proper and equitable.

COUNT II

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 26. Defendant violated the Rosenthal based on the following:
 - (a) Defendant violated the § 1788.11(d) of Rosenthal by causing Plaintiff's telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously to annoy the person called.
 - (b) Defendant violated § 1788.11(e) of Rosenthal by communicating, by telephone, with the debtor with such frequency as to be unreasonable and to constitute a harassment to the debtor under the circumstances.
 - (c) Defendant violated the §1788.17 of Rosenthal by continuously failing to comply with the statutory regulations contained within the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. to wit: Sections 1692d.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant for the following:

(1) Statutory damages of \$1000.00 pursuant to Rosenthal, Cal. Civ. Code §1788.30(b),

Case 3:17-cv-06016-WHO Document 1 Filed 10/20/17 Page 5 of 5

1	(2) Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to Rosenthal, Cal. Civ. Code §			
2	1788	1788.30(c), and		
3	(3) A	(3) Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.		
4				
5	DATED:	October 20, 2017	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,	
6			By: /s/ Nicole L. Hazlett	
7			Nicole L. Hazlett Krohn & Moss, Ltd.	
8			1112 Ocean Drive Suite 301	
9			Manhattan Beach, CA 90266	
10			Attorney for Plaintiff	
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				